Attachment E

Decianed on Enrollina Software

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS REVIEW DATE

NAME OF FOREIGN LABORATORY

11-21-2001

Central Meat Control Laboratory

FOREIGN COUNTRY LABORATORY REVIEW

FOREIGN GOV'T AGENCY
Dept. of Agriculture, Food and Rural
Development

CITY & COUNTRY Dublin, Ireland ADDRESS OF LABORATORY Abbotstown, Dublin, Ireland

NAME OF REVIEWER Dr. Gary D. Bolstad

FOID FORM OF 00 4 (0/00)

NAME OF FOREIGN OFFICIAL

Dr. Paul Rafter

													,	
	Residue Code/Nam	e 🕨	-	abc	cap	tet	hm	hor	B-ag					
	REVIEW ITEMS Sample Handling	01		A	A	A	A	A	A					
	Sampling Frequency	02	CODE	A	A	A	A	С	с					
	Timely Analyses	03	TION C	A	A	A	c	С	A					
	Compositing Procedure	04	EVALUATION	o	o	0	o	0	o					
SAN	Interpret Comp Data	05	La la	o	o	0	o	o	o					
	Data Reporting	06		A	A	A	A	A	A	 				
ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	Acceptable Method	07 w	A	A	A	A	A	RIA	 					
	Correct Tissue(s)	08	EVALUATION CO	A	Urine	A	A	Urine ser	ret- ina	 				
	Equipment Operation	09		С	A	С	A	A	A	 				_
	Instrument Printouts	10	ú	С	A	С	A	A	A					
	Minimum Detection Levels	11		A	A	A	A	A	A	 				_
Z CE	Recovery Frequency	12	۳	A	A	A	A	A	A		ļ			_
URAI	Percent Recovery	13	CODE	A	A	A	A	A	A			<u> </u>		
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES	Check Sample Frequency	14	ALUATION	A(c)	A	A(c)	A	A	A			_		
ALITY PRO	All analyst w/Check Samples	15	ALU,	A(c)	A	A(c)	A	A	A					
on O	Corrective Actions	16	3	С	С	С	С	С	c					
	International Check Samples	17		0	o	o	A	0	o ·					
REVIEW PROCEDURES	Corrected Prior Deficiencies	18	EVAL CODE	C	С	С	С	С	C					
OTHER REVIEW		19	2005											
P. F.		20		EVAL.										

FOREIGN COUNTRY LABORATORY REVIEW

Date: 11/20/01

Name: Central Meat Control Laboratory

FOREIGN GOV'T AGENCY: Dept. of Agriculture, Food, and Rural Development, Dublin, Ireland

AUDITOR:	Dr. Gary โ	D. Bolstad	FOREIGN OFFICIAL: Dr. Paul Rafter
RESIDUE	ITEM		COMMENTS
Hormones, ß-agonists	02	regard to the national residuous to be taken during the calcibeen completed. It was n	ounds, the number of analyses to date was within expectations with due testing plan. For beta-agonists, however, 2,040 samples were endar year, but as of the end of September, only 649 samples had oted that the outbreak of Foot-and-Mouth Disease early in the year in meeting the projected quotas.
Heavy met- als, hor- Mones	03,18	complete) for heavy meta FSIS expects turnaround section of the laboratory h construction since early in times had been found def	frount of time from reception in the laboratory until the analyses are ls were as long as 8 to 10 months, and for stilbenes two months. It imes of one month. The laboratory director explained that the housing the equipment for heavy metals had been under extensive in the year, and that timely analysis would soon resume. Turnaround ficient for all classes of compounds during the previous FSIS audit of 1); the turnaround times were now within expected limits for all other
Antibiotics, Tetra- Cyclines	9,10	2001 on field samples that sampled as a result of surpositive on the antibiotic sampled randomly were leavected to occur within	or antibiotics and tetracyclines had been performed since January at had tested positive on screening tests. Carcasses that had been spicion of residues (with tentative injection sites) and that had tested screening test were condemned. Positive samples from carcasses being held until confirmatory methods would be in place; this was the next 6 to 12 months. There had been very few (six) positive te start of the calendar year.
Antibiotics, Tetra- Cyclines	13	No percent recoveries we atory tests were under de	ere available for antibiotics or tetracyclines, because the confirmevelopment (see items 9, 10 above).
All	14,15, 18	per calendar month, for analyses for the national being performed for any agonists, chloramphenic explained that a source was being sought, and the permanent quality assurbe added to the staff with within several months. Intra-labor	analyst must participate in a check sample program, at least once each class of substances for which he/she performs the field residue testing program. No intra-laboratory check samples were of the compounds requiring radioimmune assay (stilbenes, beta-ol, and sedatives) or for antibiotics. The laboratory director of reference material containing known amounts of these compounds that a potential source had been located in Trieste, Italy. Also, a cance (QA) manager and four additional technicians were expected to thin six weeks, so that the requirements were expected to be met international check samples for heavy metals were analyzed every catory check samples were provided to analysts for the screening st positive samples, but none for quantitative analysis.
16	All	years. A new acting QA corrective action progra	n functioning without a QA manager for more than two and a half a manager had been in place for the past 3-4 months, but no written m had as yet been developed. This person was aware of the requireave one implemented within the next six months.
		Note: In all sections of regarding the standards	the laboratory, deficiencies noted during the previous FSIS audit books had been addressed and corrected.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE FOOD SAFETY AND INSPECTION SERVICE INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMS REVIEW DATE

NAME OF FOREIGN LABORATORY

Decioned on FormElow Softwa-

11-14-2001

Microchem Laboratories

FOREIGN COUNTRY LABORATORY REVIEW

FOREIGN GOV'T AGENCY Private Laboratory CITY & COUNTRY
Dungarvan, County Waterford,
Ireland

ADDRESS OF LABORATORY Clogherane, Dungarvan

NAME OF REVIEWER Dr. Gary D. Bolstad

FOID FORM OFFICE 4 (0/00)

NAME OF FOREIGN OFFICIAL Dr. Montse Gutierrez

Residue Code/Nam	e 🕨	-	Sal	Ecol		ļ				Ì	1				1
		-+		ļ	ļ	ļ				ļ	<u> </u>			ļ	ļ
REVIEW ITEMS Sample Handling	01	01	A	A					ı						
Sampling Frequency	02	OE	A	A											
Timely Analyses	03	ON CO	A	A											
Compositing Procedure	04	VALUAT	c	С											
Interpret Comp Data	05	Ē	С	С											
Data Reporting	06		A	A				·							
Acceptable Method	07 g	A	A							ļ			ļ	ļ	
Correct Tissue(s)	08	ALUATION CC	A	A											
Equipment Operation	09		o	0											
Instrument Printouts	10	E P	0	O											
Minimum Detection Levels	11		O	0											_
Recovery Frequency	12	VALUATION	O	o											
Percent Recovery	13		0	O								_	<u> </u>		
Check Sample Frequency	14		A	A						<u> </u>				_	
All analyst w/Check Samples	15		A	A											
Corrective Actions	16		A	A											
International Check Samples	17		A	A											
Corrected Prior Deficiencies	18	3000	0	o											
	19	1000	CODE												
	20	•	- 1												
	Sampling Frequency Timely Analyses Compositing Procedure Interpret Comp Data Data Reporting Acceptable Method Correct Tissue(s) Equipment Operation Instrument Printouts Minimum Detection Levels Recovery Frequency Percent Recovery Check Sample Frequency All analyst w/Check Samples Corrective Actions International Check Samples	Sampling Frequency 02 Timely Analyses 03 Compositing Procedure 04 Interpret Comp Data 05 Data Reporting 06 Acceptable Method 07 Correct Tissue(s) 08 Equipment Operation 09 Instrument Printouts 10 Minimum Detection Levels 11 Recovery Frequency 12 Percent Recovery 13 Check Sample Frequency 14 All analyst w/Check Samples 15 Corrective Actions 16 International Check Samples 17 Corrected Prior Deficiencies 18	Sampling Frequency 02 Timely Analyses 03 Compositing Procedure 04 Interpret Comp Data 05 Data Reporting 06 Acceptable Method 07 Correct Tissue(s) 08 Equipment Operation 09 Instrument Printouts 10 Minimum Detection Levels 11 Recovery Frequency 12 Percent Recovery 13 Check Sample Frequency 14 All analyst w/Check Samples 15 Corrective Actions 16 International Check Samples 17 Corrected Prior Deficiencies 18	Sampling Frequency Timely Analyses Compositing Procedure O4 Interpret Comp Data O5 Data Reporting Acceptable Method Correct Tissue(s) Equipment Operation Instrument Printouts Minimum Detection Levels Percent Recovery Percent Recovery Check Sample Frequency Analyst w/Check Samples International Check Samples The procedure Analyst w/Check Samples The procedure of the pro	Sampling Frequency 02 W A A Timely Analyses 03 B A A Compositing Procedure 04 B C C Interpret Comp Data 05 C C Data Reporting 06 A A Acceptable Method 07 B A A Correct Tissue(s) 08 A A Equipment Operation 09 Instrument Printouts 10 O O Minimum Detection Levels 11 Recovery Frequency 12 Percent Recovery 13 Check Sample Frequency 14 All analyst w/Check Samples 15 Corrective Actions 16 International Check Samples 17 A A Corrected Prior Deficiencies 18 B O O O	Sampling Frequency Timely Analyses O3 Compositing Procedure O4 Interpret Comp Data O5 Data Reporting O6 A A A C C C C Data Reporting O6 A A A A A Correct Tissue(s) Equipment Operation O9 Instrument Printouts O Minimum Detection Levels I1 Recovery Frequency Percent Recovery Check Sample Frequency A A A A Corrective Actions International Check Samples I19 O O O O O O O O O O O O O	Sampling Frequency 02	Sampling Frequency O2 M A A A Compositing Procedure O4 O5 C C C Interpret Comp Data O5 C C C Data Reporting O6 A A A A A A A Correct Tissue(s) Instrument Printouts Minimum Detection Levels Percent Recovery Check Sample Frequency All analyst w/Check Samples International Check Samples	Sampling Frequency	Sampling Frequency	Sampling Frequency	Sampling Frequency 02	Sampling Frequency	Sampling Frequency 02 03 04 04 05 05 05 05 05 05	Sampling Frequency 02

FOR	EIGN COL	JNTRY LABORATORY	REVIEW	REVIEW DATE	NAME OF FOREIGN LABORATORY								
		(Comment Sheet)		11-14-2001	Microchem Laboratories								
OREIGN G Private La	OV'T AGEN boratory		CITY & COUNTRY Dungarvan, Cou Ireland	nty Waterford,	ADDRESS OF LABORATORY Clogherane, Dungarvan								
	REVIEWER D. Bolstad		NAME OF FOREIG Dr. Montse Gut										
RESIDUE ITEM CODES NO.				COMMENTS									
Both	04	dual clients. The laboratory personnel available on the is listed for U.S. export fell into this category.											